Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) PARIDA, LAXMI P.	
10/081,834		
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shubo (Joe) Zhou	1631	

	Shubo (Joe) Zhou	1631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.		
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	thin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause		
(b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for		
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: see continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
NECOCHIED TO NECONSIDERATION OTHER 1. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see continuation sheet.					
2. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
-					
	/Shubo (Joe) Zhou/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631				

Continuation of 3(a), 3(b) and NOTE:

Independent claim 4 is amended to recite "providing at least said at least one new motif as an output, wherein said method is performed by a processor." This new limitation requires further consideration.

Furthermore, applicant did not provide, and the Office could not find, support thereof in the specification. This new limitation is therefore deemed new matter.

Continuation of 11:

Since the argument is in large part based on the proposed amendment, and since the amendment has not been entered for reasons set forth above, the rejections set forth in the previous Office action stand for the same reasons set forth therein.

However, it should be pointed out that has the amendment been entered, the rejection would not be overcome by the new limitation because the new step does not clearly output the final result to a user so that the result is available to be used. Simply providing it as an output does not mean it is outputted to a user.